

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/848,032	05/03/2001	Thomas Scott Gee	200-0325	6472	
29769 7:	590 06/27/2002				
JOHN M. NABER			EXAM	EXAMINER	
313 SOUTH W LANSING, MI	'ASHINGTON SQUARE 48933		WAKS, J	OSEPH	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2834			
		DATE MAILED: 06/27/2002	DATE MAILED: 06/27/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				18
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/848,032 GEE, THOMAS SCOTT		
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Joseph Waks	2834	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
- Exte after If the If NC - Failu Any r	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Pensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: FIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Cause the application to become APANCOME.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com	nmunication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 h	Any 2004		
2a)□				
3)		is action is non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under lion of Claims	ince except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the 53 O.G. 213.	merits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
Application	on Papers	or other requirement.		
9)⊠ T	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ T	The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 May 2001</u> is/are: a)□	accepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a)	
11)[] T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this Office action.	•	
12) <u></u> ⊤	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f)	
a) <u></u> [☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	0 (a)	(-) (.).	
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		n No	
3	Copies of the certified copies of the priorit	v documents have been received	in this National Sta	200
* S∈	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). f the certified copies not received		
14)∐ Ac	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional ap	plication).
a) 15)∐ Ad	☐ The translation of the foreign language provi cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has been recei	ived	,
Attachment(s	s)		•	
2) Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	4) Interview Summary (F 5) Notice of Informal Par 6) Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s)tent Application (PTO-15	<u> </u>
S. Patent and Trad TO-326 (Rev.	04.04)	on Summary		
	530 AOII	···	Part of Part	per No. 3

Application/Control Number: 09/848,032 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

In line 1, "This invention is a fail-safe algorithm" does not make sense and contains a phrase that can be implied.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: claims 1 and 8 do not comply with MPEP § 608.01(m) that requires each claim to begin with a capital letter and to end with a period. Periods may not be used elsewhere in the claims except for abbreviations. See Fressola v. Manbeck, 36 USPQ2d1211 (D.D.C. 1995). The capital letters should be used only at the beginning of the claim and for units and abbreviations.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,032 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claim 1, the feature of the efficient engine temperatures is not supported by the specification.

- 5. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. For the reasons indicated above one skilled in the art would not be able to make or use the invention.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform to current U.S. practice. Below are several examples of indefinite and inconsistent language of the claims.

Appropriate corrections of all claims are required.

In claim 1, lines 7-8, "safe and efficient engine operating temperatures", line 11, "acceptable engine temperatures", line 12, "adequate power", and lines 13-14, "noise, vibration

Application/Control Number: 09/848,032

Art Unit: 2834

and harshness kept to a minimum" are indefinite since they are not sufficiently defined in the specification, in line 14, replace "(NVH) is" with –are--.

In claim 2, "half its normal operating power" is indefinite and not defined in the specification.

In claim 3, line 2, "a determination" is vague and indefinite since it is descriptive in nature and it is not clear how and by what this determination is made, line 3,"the power output" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 4, line 2, "kept to a minimum" is indefinite since the minimum is not defined by the specification, line 3, "the generator" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kitada et al. (JP 406048189 A).

Kitada et al. disclose invention as claimed: a fail-safe engine cooling control system for a hybrid vehicle comprising an electric traction motor 8, an engine 1, an engine temperature sensor 5, a system 3 to cool the engine, a vehicle system controller 4, 9, wherein the fail-safe system maintains safe engine temperatures when the system to cool the engine fails and provides an adequate power to the vehicle to extend the vehicle operating range. The reduction of noise, vibration and harshness is inherent to the disclosed system.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,032 Page 5

Art Unit: 2834

Re claims 8, 10,11, and 14, **Kitada et al.** disclose the system as claimed. Claims 8, 10,11, and 14 that merely recite using the disclosed features together are inherent to the disclosed structure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 2, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitada et al. (JP 406048189 A).

Kitada et al. disclose the invention essentially as claimed, including parallel operation of the engine and the motor for the purpose of maintaining the acceptable engine temperature. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to limit the engine partial load to half of the operating power since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Re claim 9, **Kitada et al.** disclose the system essentially as claimed. Claim 9 that merely recites using the disclosed features together is inherent to the disclosed structure.

Prior Art

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2834

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Waks whose telephone number is (703) 308-1676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor R Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-1341 for regular communications and (703) 305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

JW

June 21, 2002